

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.upubc.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/762,847	03/12/2001	Gerd Mansfeld	Mo-6209/HR-183	1134
157 75	90 12/19/2003		EXAMINER	
BAYER POLYMERS LLC			JOHNSON, EDWARD M	
100 BAYER ROAD PITTSBURGH, PA 15205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PITTSBURGH	, FA 13203		1754	

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

94						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/762,847	MANSFELD ET AL.				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Edward M. Johnson	1754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 14 November 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of f (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth atter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CF f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply se later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extensior unt of the fee. The appropriate extensior originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of f	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: 4 and 12.						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,5-7,9-11 and 13-24.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:	SIPER TEC	ANLEY S. SILVERMAN NSORY PATENT EXAMINER HNOLOGY CENTER 1700				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is argued that applicant respectfully submits tha the compound... falls outside the scope of the claims. This is not persuasive because Applicant appears to admit that 2-methyl-3-isobutyl pyrazine is also disclosed, arguing only that the disclosure was actually a typographical error. It is argued that Applicants respectfully submit that the mention of 2-methyl-3-isobutyl pyrazine by Youshida... is a typographical error. This is not persuasive because although i appears possible that Yoshida intended to write "methoxy" in the column 4 disclosure of "methyl", the column 6 disclosure of "methyl" is made independently of prior mentions of "methoxy". Specifically, "methyl" is mentioned particulary for its odor "intensity", whereas "methoxy" is previously mentioned for its odor "boosting effect". Further, even if the disclosure were made in error, such disclosure was still available to the public in the cited published patent document, which can in any case be the basis for a proper rejection under §102(b It is finally noted that because the disclosure of "methyl" appears twice in the cited reference, it appears less likely that the disclosure was an error than if the disclosure were simply a single, isolated anomaly within the reference.